

Research Article

Post-marketing control of *Matricaria chamomilla* L. and *Thymus vulgaris* L. products by reference and developed methods

Fateme Tajabadi^{1,*}, Shamsali Rezazadeh¹, Seyed Vahid Ghasemi¹, Mehrnoosh Abedinpour², Monireh Sharifi², Mostafa Pirali Hamedani³, Amirhosein Jamshidi⁴, Darab Yazdani¹

¹ Medicinal Plants Research Center, Institute of Medicinal Plants, ACECR, Karaj, Iran

² Pharmaceutical Sciences Branch Faculty of Pharmacy, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

³ Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴ Research Institute for Islamic and Complementary Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Thymus vulgaris

Matricaria chamomilla

PMS

Microextraction

Natural products

Quality control tests

ABSTRACT

Background: Post-market surveillance (PMS) is an integral part of ongoing safety evaluation, for natural health products. *Matricaria chamomilla* (chamomile) and *Thymus vulgaris* (thyme) are the most widely used plants in herbal medicinal products. *Thymus* species contain phenolic compounds such as thymol, carvacrol and terpenoids, flavonoids, and saponins. *T. vulgaris* is an antiseptic and antitussive, so it is very effective in treating dry coughs, colds, and inflammation of the upper respiratory tract. *Matricaria chamomilla* (chamomile) products containing apigenin-7-glycoside, some flavonoids and chamazulene in its essential oil that have anti-inflammatory, anti-fungal and anti-bacterial therapeutic properties. **Objective:** In this study, various dosage forms containing thyme (8 products) and chamomile (9 products) separately, (which are available in the form of drops, syrups, ointments, and creams) were provided. Then, physicochemical controls were performed based on the reference methods of herbal pharmacopeias and in-house validated methods to ensure quality and stability of these products. **Methods:** Since many of these products have no special monograph in pharmacopeias, so validated extraction and analysis methods were developed to quantify the apigenin-7-glycoside by high performance liquid chromatography, and chamazulene by gas chromatography in the chamomile products and thymol and carvacrol by gas chromatography in thyme products in different complex dosage forms. **Results:** In some products that formulated by thyme and chamomile products, the amount reported for standardization does not match the values obtained. **Conclusion:** It's recommended more control of herbal medicines for appropriate and effective consumption of them.

Abbreviations: DLLME, Dispersive Liquid-Liquid Microextraction; GC/MS, Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy; HPLC, High Performance Liquid Chromatography; LOD, Loss on Drying; PMS, Post-Market Surveillance; TLC, Thin-Layer Chromatography

*Corresponding author: tajabadi@imp.ac.ir

doi: [10.52547/jmp.21.84.13](https://doi.org/10.52547/jmp.21.84.13)

Received 14 August 2022; Received in revised form 9 October 2022; Accepted 11 October 2022

© 2020. Open access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

Herbal medicinal products are usually used for preventing, treating, and chronic disease management [1, 2]. Herbal products are not necessarily completely safe, as long as having problems such as batch variations, dosage and concentration inconsistencies of the active ingredients [3]. In one study, 28.8 % of herbal preparations investigated were found to be contaminated with heavy metals and 7 % of the herbal products were consciously adulterated with conventional drugs to improve efficacy [4]. Herbal medicines though are perceived to be generally safe but they can pose a health risks to consumers [5-8]. The department of Natural Products of the Food and Drug Administration of Iran was established with the mission of promoting, controlling and regulating the natural and alternative medicine in Iran. Despite the creation of Food and Drug Administration of Iran, many herbal medicines still get to the market under inadequate control [9]. There has not also been regular field postmarketing surveillance (PMS) on both registered and unregistered herbal medicines in the market thereby creating a major public health concern, especially for high-consumption products.

Matricaria chamomilla L. (*M. chamomilla*, chamomile) is one of the most frequently herbal products and, in addition, is applied in pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries [10-13]. Many of its properties such as antimicrobial, antispasmodic, and anti-inflammatory effects have been reported [14-16]. About chemical components, more than 120 secondary metabolites have been identified in chamomile, including phenolic compounds like flavonoids, sesquiterpenes (α -bisabolol, bisabolol oxides A and B, chamazulene, and farnesene), coumarins, and several others [15]. In this context, characterizing a high-quality and effective

chamomile product possesses great importance in the industrial field.

Thymus vulgaris L. (*T. vulgaris*, thyme) is an aromatic and medicinal plant of increased commercial interest. *T. vulgaris* is widely used to treat different respiratory problems such as bronchitis, allergy, cold, flu and cough [17]. Carvacrol and thymol are the most frequent in thyme. Recently, several studies have reported that the carvacrol and thymol isomers exhibit antioxidant, antifungal, and antibacterial effects [18]. Thymol is safe with negligible toxicity [19] and carvacrol has been permitted by the EU Commission as a flavoring substance in food products [20].

The primary aim of this postmarketing surveillance (PMS) was to obtain concerns regarding the efficacy and safety of thyme and chamomile products available in the Iranian pharmaceutical market from the consumers perspectives. So various dosage forms were controlled and controlled with precise and validated methods, especially for the amount of effective ingredients and physicochemical and microbial properties.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

Analytical grade standards of chamazulene, eugenol, carvacrol and rutin (Quercetin 3-rutinoside) (> 98 %) were purchased from Sigma- Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich, Zwijndrecht, Netherlands). Dichloromethane, chloroform, HPLC-grade methanol, ethanol, ethyl acetate and hexane, were purchased from Merck (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Individual stock solutions of chamazulene, eugenol, carvacrol and rutin (Quercetin 3-rutinoside) at 1 mg/ml were

prepared in methanol. All stock solutions were kept at 2 °C.

2.2. Herbal Products

The examined medicinal products that contain the extract or essential oil of the thyme and

chamomile as the single or main ingredient, were purchased from pharmacies in Tehran province. The characteristics of the nine products of the chamomile and the eight products of the thyme are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The characteristics of the chamomile and *T. vulgaris* products

| | Product code | Dosage form | Active ingredients | Standardization |
|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| <i>Matricaria chamomilla</i> | C1 | Buccal drop (30 ml) | <i>Matricaria chamomilla</i> extract | 0.09-0.17 mg of chamazulene per 1 ml |
| | C2 | Topical solution (250 ml) | <i>Matricaria chamomilla</i> extract | 0.14 mg of flavonoid per 1 ml |
| | C3 | Topical solution (250 ml) | <i>Matricaria chamomilla</i> extract | 52 mg of apigenin per 100 ml |
| | C4 | Ointment (30 g) | <i>Matricaria chamomilla</i> extract | - |
| | C5 | Syrup (120 ml) | <i>Matricaria chamomilla</i> extract (principle)- <i>Pimpinella anisum</i> extract | 20.9 mg of apigenin per 10% total weight |
| | C6 | Ointment (20 g) | <i>Matricaria chamomilla</i> extract & <i>calendula officinalis</i> L. extract | 0.15 mg of α -bisabolol per 17% total weight |
| | C7 | Cream (30 g) | <i>Matricaria chamomilla</i> & <i>Quercus infectoria</i> extract | 9.85-30.22 mg of phenol & 77-104 mg of apigenin per 1 g |
| | C8 | Cream (30 g) | <i>Matricaria chamomilla</i> & <i>Myrtus communis</i> extract | 3 g chamomile extract & 1.8 g essential oil of myrtus per 30 g |
| | C9 | Ointment (15 g) | <i>Matricaria chamomilla</i> essential oil | 0.07mg of essential oil per 100 g |
| <i>Thymus vulgaris</i> | T1 | Syrup (120 ml) | <i>Thymus vulgaris</i> extract | 50 mg thymus extract per 5 ml |
| | T2 | Syrup (60 ml) | <i>Thymus vulgaris</i> extract | 50 mg thymus extract per 5 ml |
| | T3 | Syrup (120 ml) | <i>Thymus vulgaris</i> extract (principle)- <i>Origanum majorana</i> extract | 1 mg total phenol as thymol per 5 ml |
| | T4 | Syrup (120 ml) | <i>Thymus vulgaris</i> extract | 1-1.5 mg total phenol as thymol per 5 ml |
| | T5 | Syrup (120 ml) | <i>Thymus vulgaris</i> extract | 17.5-26.5 mg total phenol as thymol per 100 ml |
| | T6 | Syrup (120 ml) | <i>Thymus vulgaris</i> extract (principle)- honey | 150 mg thymus extract as 0.6 mg thymol |
| | T7 | Syrup (120 ml) | <i>Thymus vulgaris</i> , <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> , <i>Malva</i> , <i>Zingiber officinale</i> | 85 mg total phenol as thymol per 5 ml |

| | | | |
|-----------|----------------|--|--|
| T8 | Syrup (120 ml) | <i>Thymus vulgaris</i> (principle), <i>Eucalyptus</i> , <i>Satureja</i> , <i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> | 8-12 mg total phenol as thymol per 100 ml |
|-----------|----------------|--|--|

2.3. Quality Control Tests

Three types of tests based on the dosage forms were performed on the products. Physicochemical, ingredient assay and microbial characteristics were investigated in the two time periods (0 and 6 months) for each product.

2.3.1. Physicochemical controls

The organoleptic properties (color, odor and taste), pH, density and thin layer chromatography (TLC) were tested for all products. In addition, the moisture and uniformity of semisolid products and loss on drying (LOD) of liquid products were investigated. TLC analysis was done to identify of the active ingredients of the plants in the products.

TLC analysis of the chamomile products was done on the 254 GF silica gel as the stationary phase. To prepare standard solution, 10 mg of borneol, 10 mg of borneol acetate and, 5 mg of bisabolol oxide were dissolved in 10 ml of toluene: chloroform solvent (3:1 ratio). Also, the mixture of toluene and chloroform solution ratio of 3:1 was used as the mobile phase [21]. To better separate and identify chamazulene (because chamazulene is formed by the heating of matricin (precursor of chamazulene)), all products were refluxed at 80 °C for 135 min. After cooling to ambient temperature and centrifuging for 5 min at 3000 rpm, 5 ml of the upper phase was used for sampling on a chromatographic plate. Also, 2.5 g of each ointment and cream sample were refluxed with 10 ml of hexane (for degreasing) for 135 min at 80 °C, then after cooling to the ambient temperature, were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10

minutes. After phase separation, the upper phase was sampled on the plate of TLC.

For the TLC of *T. vulgaris* products, 5 ml of each sample with 2.5 ml of the mixture of 93 % toluene and 7 % ethyl acetate was mixed thoroughly and sampled on the TLC plate. 13 mg of thymol and 10 mg of carvacrol were dissolved in 2.5 ml of 93 % toluene and 7 % ethyl acetate solution and used as a standard solution. For the mobile phase, a mixture of 93 % toluene and 7 % ethyl acetate was used. After drying, the TLC plate was sprayed with a benzaldehyde reagent and then placed in a furnace at 100 °C for 5 min. The standard solution pattern and samples were compared [21].

2.3.2. Quantification of active ingredients

Since all products don't have their special monograph in the pharmacopeias, the methods of pharmacopeias for measuring active ingredients of thyme and chamomile are more limited to their raw materials such as extracts or essential oils. Therefore, clean-up methods are needed to determine accurate active ingredients in the products with complex matrix. In this study dispersive liquid-liquid extraction (DLLME) based internal standard method was used to clean the samples [22].

2.3.3. DLLME method

In this study the micro-extraction method include three basic steps:

a) To the all 5 ml of thyme and pretreatment chamomile products (based on the mentioned method in section 2.3.1), were added 20 µl of eugenol and thymol with concentration of 100

mg/L as internal standards, respectively and reached to 15 ml with water in the falcon tube.

b) 300 μ l of the mixtures of toluene and ethyl acetate (93:7) and toluene and chloroform (1:3) as extraction solvents and 1 ml of acetone as the dispersive solvent were injected into the aqueous solutions of the chamomile and thyme products, respectively.

c) The cloudy solutions were centrifuged 10 min at 1000 rpm and settled the heavier organic phase in the bottom of the falcon tube. The separated organic phase was introduced to the instrumental analysis [22].

The extraction and analysis process was performed focusing on the compound which is the claimed standardization base. Therefore, the compounds of chamazulene, thymol and carvacrol were analyzed with GC/MS, apigenin analyzed with HPLC-DAD and total flavonoids and phenols analyzes with UV-vis.

2.3.4. Gas chromatography/Mass spectroscopy (GC/MS)

After extraction, GC/MS analysis of thymol, carvacrol and chamazulene components was performed on Agilent 6890 system (Agilent, Littleton, Colorado, USA) coupled with Agilent 5973 N mass selective detector equipped with a BPX5 fused silica column (30 m \times 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 μ m). Following injection, 5 min after injection, the oven temperature was increased from 50 to 240 $^{\circ}$ C at a the rate of 3 $^{\circ}$ C/min and then reached to 300 $^{\circ}$ C at rate of 15 $^{\circ}$ C/min and hold 3 min in this temperature. Other operating conditions were as follows: carrier gas, He (99.999 %), with a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min; injector temperature, 250 $^{\circ}$ C; and split ratio, 1:35. Mass spectra were taken at 70 eV a scan time of 1 s and a mass range of 40-500 amu [23].

2.3.5. HPLC condition

After extraction, apigenin was quantified with the HPLC system (Knauer, Germany) equipped with a Knauer- UV K2501 detector, an Eclipse – XBD-C₁₈ column (25 cm \times 4.6 mm \times 5 μ m) and a Knauer-K1001 pump. An isocratic mobile phase consisted mobile phase A (phosphoric acid R, water R (0.5:99.5 V/V)), and mobile phase B (phosphoric acid R, acetonitrile R (0.5:99.5 V/V)); and its flow rate was 1.0 ml/min. The UV detection wavelength was 340 nm, injection volume was 20 μ l [24].

2.3.6. Total phenol analysis

5 ml of distilled water and 1 g of the previously prepared chamomile products were added to the 10 ml volumetric flask. Then 0.5 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent was added to the flask and after 3 min, 1 ml of the 20 % sodium carbonate solution was added to it. The mixture reaches 10 ml with distilled water. When the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent is added, the solution turned green and after adding sodium carbonate, the solution turned blue [25]. Finally, after one hour, the absorption of the solution at 725 nm is measured by the UV-vis device. This procedure was repeated three times. For the preparation of the calibration curve, all the above procedure was performed with gallic acid in five concentration (10, 50, 100, 250, 500 mg/ml). This procedure was repeated three times. For the preparation of the blank solution, all the above procedure was performed without the extract [25].

2.3.7. Total flavonoid analysis

1 g of the samples, was added into a 10 ml flask containing 4 ml of distilled water. Then 0.3 ml of sodium nitrite 5 % was added to it and mixed well. After 5 min, add 0.3 ml of 10 % aluminum chloride, which we have already prepared, and mix well. After 6 minutes, 2 ml of

NaOH solution 1 M was added to it and shaken. Then, for making 10 ml solution, distilled water was added to it. Then, after 15 minutes, the absorption of the pink solution was measured at 510 nm. For preparation of the calibration curve, all the above procedure was performed on the rutin in five concentration (10, 50, 100, 250, 500 mg/ml). This procedure was repeated three times. For preparation of the blank solution, all the above procedure was performed without the extract [26].

3. Results

3.1. Thin layer chromatography

The R_f results of the thin-layer chromatographic identification test for the all products are given in the table 2 and 3. Based on the R_f values, the presence of chamomile compounds in the products C2, C5 and C6 is not confirmed. While, it is claimed that the product C6 is standardized as α -bisabolol.

According to the R_f values, the presence of thymol was confirmed in all thyme products except T3 and T8. Also, Carvacrol was detected in all samples except T6.

3.2. Optimization of DLLME method for assay determination

To quantify the amount of active ingredients by GC/MS, first, the samples were prepared based on the pretreatment method was mentioned in section 2-3-2. But the first, the pretreatment DLLME method should be optimized. To select the optimal conditions, various parameters such as extraction and dispersive solvents and the effect of electrolyte on the extraction efficiency of total thymol and carvacrol and also chamazulene as the quantitative indexes of thyme and chamomile products, respectively

were investigated. It is noteworthy that to remove the matrix effects all samples were prepared and analyzed by internal standard methods.

To determine the best extraction solvent, the mixture of toluene: ethyl acetate (97:3), chloroform and dichloromethane for the thymol and carvacrol and the mixture of toluene: chloroform (1:3), chloroform and dichloromethane for chamazulene were investigated and the recovery of extraction procedure was investigated. Based on the obtained results (Fig. 1), the mixture of toluene and ethyl acetate and chloroform and dichloromethane were chosen as the best extraction solvents for thymol, carvacrol and chamazulene respectively.

The volume of extraction solvents (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 1.0 ml) were investigated for achieving the best extraction recovery. Toluene:ethyl acetate (97:3) with 0.2 ml and toluene:chloroform (1:3) with 0.3 ml were showed maximum extraction recovery.

Acetone, methanol, and acetonitrile were tested as the dispersive solvents. The mixture of 0.2 ml of toluene:chloroform (1:3) and toluene:ethyl acetate (97:3) with 1 ml of dispersive solvents were dispersed in 5 ml of the sample solutions of chamazulene and thymol and carvacrol, respectively. Then, after centrifugation 1 μ l of the collected organic phase was injected into the GC/MS device. In both cases, acetone as a dispersive solvent showed the best extraction efficiency than other solvents (Fig. 2). Finally, acetone was selected as the dispersive solvent.

To investigate the salting-out effect on the extraction efficiency, NaCl salt with different concentrations was added to the sample solutions

of thymol and carvacrol and also chamazulene and was not observed any effect on the extraction efficiencies.

Optimal conditions according to the obtained results were 0.3 ml of extraction solvent, 1 ml of acetone as a dispersant solvent and no addition of salt.

Table 2. R_f values related to thin layer chromatographic tests of chamomile products

| Standard components | R _f values of standards | Product codes | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|----|-------|-------|----|----|-------|-------|-------|
| | | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 | C8 | C9 |
| Borneol | 0.087 | - | - | - | 0.086 | - | - | 0.090 | 0.087 | - |
| Bisabolol | 0.175 | - | - | 0.167 | 0.172 | - | - | - | - | 0.172 |
| Borneol acetate | 0.38 | - | - | - | 0.34 | - | - | 0.37 | 0.38 | - |
| Chamazulene | 0.84 | 0.85 | - | 0.87 | 0.86 | - | - | 0.88 | - | 0.81 |

Table 3. R_f values related to thin layer chromatographic tests of *T. Vulgaris* products

| Standard components | R _f values of standards | Product codes | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | T8 |
| Thymol | 0.642 | 0.660 | 0.642 | - | 0.642 | 0.628 | 0.640 | 0.629 | - |
| Carvacrol | 0.607 | 0.612 | 0.602 | 0.603 | 0.603 | 0.581 | - | 0.601 | 0.584 |




Fig. 1. Effect of different extraction solvents on the recovery of chamazulene (red diagram) and sum of thymol and carvacrol (blue diagram).




Fig. 2. Effect of different dispersive solvents on the recovery of chamazulene (red diagram) and sum of thymol and carvacrol (blue diagram).

4. Discussion

In one study, the quality assessment of marketed chamomile tea products were studied by HPTLC method and were founded, the chamomile tea bags sold on the market not only prepare with crude flowers but also to be adulterated with other plant materials [27]. In another study, a RP-HPLC-DAD method were validated for assessment of apigenin-7-glucoside in *Matricaria chamomilla* preparations [28].

In this study, all products were examined for microbial contamination and other, physicochemical properties, in two time periods the beginning of the preparation of the products and 6 months after preparation and storage at accelerated stability conditions (temperature of 40 °C under humidity 75 %). All results are listed in Table 4 and 5 for all products of chamomile and thyme, respectively.

In the case of chamomile products, according to the obtained results related to the identification test by TLC, only in C4 product, all the active ingredients of chamomile were detected.

Regarding the C1, C7 and C8 brochures; chamazulene is mentioned as the main active ingredient but inconsistency with brochure information is evident in C8. In the C1, the amount of obtained chamazulene is less than the reported amount. According to the factory information, three solutions of C3, C5 and C7 have been standardized based on the apigenin. In the case of C5, the amount of obtained apigenin according to the results is about three times the reported amount and does not comply with the standardized amount on the brochure. The obtained amount of apigenin in C7 product doesn't confirm with the brochure information. Therefore, the amount of apigenin mentioned in the C3, C5 and C7 product brochure does not match to the obtained contents. In C2 product, standardization was performed based on the 0.14 mg of total flavonoids per ml of product, which obtained results was much higher than expected. In the stability study, C5 was sugared and sediment was observed at it.

Table 4. All quality control results of chamomile products (0 month)

| Product code | Physical properties | | | Appearance | | Assay of active ingredients | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Density (g/ml) | pH | Moisture (% w/w) | Odor & taste | color | Bisabolol oxide B | α -bisabolol | Chamazulene | Bisabolol oxide A | Apigenin |
| C1 | 1.009 | 5.68 | 94.0 | chamomile - bitter | Dark brown | 0.019 % | ND ^a | 0.005% | 0.152 % | - |
| C2 | 1.014 | 6.30 | 96.5 | chamomile - bitter | Dark brown | ND | ND | ND | 0.084 % | - |
| C3 | 1.077 | 6.43 | 97.8 | chamomile - bitter | brown | 0.0268 % | 0.045 % | 0.009 % | 0.298 % | 0.6 mg/100 ml |
| C4 | 1.596 | 7.00 | 7.00 | chamomile | white | ND | ND | ND | ND | - |
| C5 | 1.253 | 4.41 | 85.0 | sweet - chamomile | Light green | ND | ND | ND | 0.0428 | 0.57 mg/ml |
| C6 | 0.535 | 7.20 | 8.20 | chamomile | milky | ND | ND | ND | ND | - |
| C7 | 1.620 | 6.40 | 57.12 | chamomile | Greenish milky | 0.005 % | ND | 0.003% | 0.003 % | 0.003 mg/g |
| C8 | 0.652 | 7.33 | 8.20 | chamomile | Light yellow | ND | ND | ND | ND | - |
| C9 | 0.862 | 7.44 | 2.98 | chamomile | Colorless | ND | 0.002 % | 0.003 % | 0.019 % | - |

^a Not detected.**Table 4.** All quality control results of chamomile products (0 month) (Continued)

| Product code | Microbial tests | | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Total Plate Count | Yeast & Mold | <i>Escherichia coli</i> | <i>Salmonella</i> spp. | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> | <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> |
| C1 | < 10 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| C2 | 170 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| C3 | 81 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| C4 | < 10 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| C5 | < 10 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| C6 | < 10 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| C7 | < 10 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| C8 | < 10 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| C9 | < 10 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |

Table 5. All quality control results of *T. vulgaris* products (0 month)

| Product code | Physical properties | | Appearance | | Assay of active ingredients | |
|--------------|---------------------|------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| | Density (g/ml) | pH | Odor & taste | Color | Thymol (mg/L) | Carvacrol (mg/L) |
| T1 | 1.33 | 4.84 | Sweet & bitter | Light brown | 168.2 | 24.5 |
| T2 | 1.33 | 4.43 | sweet and sour | Light brown | 184.6 | 10.2 |
| T3 | 1.32 | 5.84 | Sweet & bitter | Light yellow | 14.8 | 56.8 |
| T4 | 1.36 | 4.44 | Sweet & bitter | Light brown | 58.3 | 35.4 |
| T5 | 1.35 | 5.90 | Sweet & bitter | Dark brown | 51.6 | 55.4 |
| T6 | 1.27 | 4.8 | sweet and sour | Light yellow | 34.5 | 4.95 |
| T7 | 1.23 | 5.67 | sweet and sour | Light yellow | 44.7 | 32.8 |
| T8 | 1.34 | 4.67 | Sweet & bitter | Light brown | 7.7 | 18.8 |

Table 5. All quality control results of *T. vulgaris* products (0 month) (Continued)

| Product code | Microbial tests | | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Total Plate Count | Yeast & Mold | <i>Escherichia coli</i> | <i>Salmonella</i> spp. | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> | <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> |
| T1 | 54 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| T2 | 100 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| T3 | < 10 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| T4 | < 10 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| T5 | < 10 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| T6 | < 10 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| T7 | < 10 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| T8 | < 10 | < 10 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |

Accelerated stability condition, which indicates the instability of the product. Topical C2, C3 and C1 were precipitated at low temperature.

During the accelerated stability period, the amount of their active ingredients of T1, T2 and T5 products, was stable. Also during the stability time, no significant changes were observed in the density values of any of the products. All samples were clear and free of turbidity, and their taste, odor and color were evaluated, which did not change during the accelerated stability test. However, it should be noted that products with codes of T1, T3 and T5 in very small amounts, T4 in moderate amounts and T8 with high were observed sugar intensity. This makes it difficult to open the bottle cap and can lead to rejection by the consumer. Low-temperature deposition, indicates the instability of the product formulation in changes in ambient temperature, which was not observed in any of the products, even during the stability period.

5. Conclusion

In the case of chamomile products, liquid products showed less chemical and physical stability compared to other investigated ointments and creams. C9 ointment had the

highest stability among all products containing essential oil or extract of the chamomile. In some thymus products, the reported amount of the standardized compound did not match the obtained values. Not mentioning the amount of active ingredients on the label of some products is a weakness in providing information and labeling. Also, based on the matrix and dosage form of the products, special validated methods for pretreatment and analysis of the active ingredients are needed. More care in PMS control of the herbal medicines for better and more appropriate quality and effective consumption of herbal medicines is recommended. In addition to using high-quality initial materials with the proper amounts of active ingredients, manufacturers must also use appropriate other ingredients that protect the main ingredients, such as antioxidants, in the dosage forms. Therefore, according to the obtained results, it is suggested that the PMS investigation be taken seriously, especially for high-consumption products.

Author contributions

F. T. & Sh. R.: Investigation, Data curation, Supervision, F. T: Scientific adviser, M. SV. Gh.: HPLC operator, M. A. & M. S.: Data analysis,

M. P., A. J. & D. Y.: Review & Editing the original draft.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could appear to influence the

References

1. Boateng MA, Danso-Appiah A, Turkson BK and Tersbol BP. Integrating biomedical and herbal medicine in Ghana-experiences from the Kumasi South Hospital: A qualitative study. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 2016; 16: 189-196. doi: 10.1186/s12906-016-1163-4.
2. World Health Organization, WHO traditional medicine strategy: 2014-2023.
3. Harkey MR, Henderson GL and Gershwin ME, Stern JS and Hackman RM. Variability in commercial ginseng products: An analysis of 25 preparations. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2001; 73(6), 1101-1106. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/73.6.1101.
4. Ko RJ. Adulterants in Asian patent medicines. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1998; 339: 847-853. doi: 10.1056/nejm199809173391214.
5. Boullata JI and Nace AM. Safety issues with herbal medicine. *Pharmacotherapy* 2000; 20(3): 257-269. doi: 10.1592/phco.20.4.257.34886.
6. Skoulidis F, Alexander GJM and Davies SE. Ma huang associated acute liver failure requiring liver transplantation. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2005; 17(5): 581-4. doi: 10.1097/00042737-200505000-00017.
7. Nie J, Wang F and Ji T, Zhao J and Zhao F. Assessment of *in vitro* cardiotoxicity of extract fractions and diterpene alkaloids from *Aconitum leucostomum* Worosch: A short communication. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 2017; 137: 84-89. doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2017.01.003.

work reported in this paper. The authors alone are responsible for the content of the paper.

Acknowledgements

This research was done with financial support of Institute of Medicinal Plants Center (IMP), ACECR. The authors are thankful to IMP.


8. Navarro VJ, Barnhart H and Bonkovsky HL, Davern T, Fontana RJ, Grant L, Reddy KR, Seeff LB, Serrano J, Sherker AH, Stolz A, Talwalkar J, Vega M and Vuppalanchi R. Liver injury from herbals and dietary supplements in the U.S. Drug-Induced Liver Injury Network. *Hepatol.* 2014; 60(4): 1399-1408. doi: 10.1002/hep.27317.
9. Mojab F, Shafaati A, Nickavar B and Karimian L. Physicochemical quality control of senna products from Iranian phytopharmaceutics. *J. Med. Plants* 2005; 4(14): 30-35.
10. Srivastava JK, Shankar E and Gupta S. Chamomile: a herbal medicine of the past with a bright future. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 2010; 3: 895-901. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2010.377.
11. Fonseca FN and Tavares MFM. Validation of a capillary electrophoresis method for the quantitative determination of free and total apigenin in extracts of *Chamomilla recutita*. *Phytochem. Anal.* 2004; 15(1): 65-70. doi: 10.1002/pca.744.
12. Guimarães R, Barros L, Dueñas M, Calheta RC, Carvalho AM, Buelga CS, Queiroz MJRP and Ferreira ICFR. Infusion and decoction of wild German chamomile: bioactivity and characterization of organic acids and phenolic compounds. *Food Chem.* 2013; 136(2): 947-54. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.09.007.
13. Petronilho S, Maraschin M, Coimbra MA and Rocha SM. *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies of

- natural products: a challenge for their valuation. The case study of chamomile (*Matricaria recutita* L.), *Ind. Crops. Prod.* 2012; 40: 1-12. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.02.041.
14. British Herbal Medicine Association, British Herbal Pharmacopeia, British Herbal Medicine Association, 4th edition, 1996.
15. Mavandi P and Zarifi E. Karyomorphological study and its correlation with the quantity and quality of essential oil in Iranian chamomile accessions (*Matricaria chamomilla* L.). *Biocatal. Agricul. Biotech.* 2022; 41. 102320-8. doi: 10.1016/j.bcab.2022.102320.
16. Loggia RD, Carle R, Sosa S and Tubaro A. Evaluation of the anti-inflammatory activity of Chamomile preparations. *Planta Med.* 1990; 56(6): 657-658. doi: 10.1055/S-2006-961313.
17. Alqarni MH, Salkini AA, Abujheisha KY, Daghar MF, Al-khuraif FA and Abdel-Kader MS. Qualitative, quantitative and antimicrobial activity variations of the essential oils isolated from *Thymus vulgaris* and *Micromeria fruticosa* samples subjected to different drying conditions. *Arab. J. Sci. Engin.* 2022; 47: 6861-7. doi: 10.1007/s13369-021-06469-8.
18. Rúa J, Valle PD, Arriaga DD, Fernández-Álvarez L and García-Armesto MR. Combination of carvacrol and thymol: antimicrobial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* and antioxidant activity. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 2019; 16(9): 622-629. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2018.2594.
19. FDA, Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (e-CFR). Part 182–Substances generally recognized as safe, Section 182.20–Essential oils, oleoresins (solvent-free), and natural extractives (including distillates). Title 21, revised as of October 10, Electronic Code of Federal Regulations 2019; 3.
20. EURL, European Union Reference Laboratory for Feed Additives (EURL-FA), Evaluation Report on the Analytical Methods Submitted in Connection With the Application for Authorisation of a Feed Additive According to Regulation (EC) No. 2016.
21. Ghasemi Dehkordi NA, Sajadi SE, Ghanadi AR, Amanzadeh Y, Azadbakht M, Asghari GR, Amin GR, Haji Akhoundi A and Taleb AM. Iranian herbal pharmacopoeia, *Thymus daenensis* Celak, (2002) 44-50.
22. Rezaee Moghadam N, Arefhosseini SR and Javadi A. Determination of Enrofloxacin and Ciprofloxacin residues in five different kind of chicken tissues by dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction coupled with HPLC. *Iran. J. Pharm. Res.* 2018; 17(4): 1182-1190. PMID: 30568678.
23. Tarasoli Z, Faraji H, Tajabadi F, Shabani M and Shahbazi HR. Evaluation of adulteration in *Lavandula angustifolia* Mill. Products using GC/MS combined with chemometric methods. *J. Med. Plants* 2021; 20(80): 34-46. doi: 10.52547/jmp.20.80.34.
24. British Pharmacopea. The department of health, social services and public safety. 2017; IV: 284.
25. Gutfinger T. Polyphenols in olive oils. *JAACS.* 1981; 58: 966-968. doi: 10.1007/BF02659771.
26. Yoo KM, Lee CH, Lee H, Moon B and Lee CY. Relative antioxidant and cytoprotective activities of common herbs. *Food Chem.* 2008; 106(3): 929-936.
27. Guzelmeric E, Ristivojevi P, Vovk I, Milojković-Opsenica D and Erdem Yesilada E. Quality assessment of marketed chamomile tea products by a validated HPTLC method combined with multivariate analysis. *J. Pharm.*

Biomed. Anal. 2017; 132: 35-45. doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2016.09.030.

28. Miguel FG, Cavalheiro AH, Spinola NF, Ribeiro DL, Barcelos GRM, Antunes LMG, Hori JI, Marquele-Oliveira F, Rocha BA and Berretta AA. Validation of a RP-HPLC-DAD method for chamomile (*Matricaria recutita*) preparations and assessment of the marker, Apigenin-7-glucoside, safety and anti-inflammatory effect. *Evid-Based Complement. Alternat. Med.* 2015; 5: 1-9. doi: 10.1155/2015/ 828437.

How to cite this article: Tajabadi F, Rezazadeh Sh, Ghasemi SV, Abedinpour M, Sharifi M, Pirali Hemedani M, Jamshidi AH, Yazdani D. Post-marketing control of *Matricaria chamomilla* L. and *Thymus vulgaris* L. products by reference and developed methods. *Journal of Medicinal Plants* 2022; 21(84): 13-25. doi: [10.52547/jmp.21.84.13](https://doi.org/10.52547/jmp.21.84.13)



فصلنامه گیاهان دارویی

Journal homepage: www.jmp.ir

مقاله تحقیقاتی

کنترل پس از ورود به بازار محصولات بابونه و آویشن با روش‌های مرجع و توسعه یافته

فاطمه تاج آبادی^{۱*}، شمسعلی رضازاده^۱، سید وحید قاسمی^۱، مهنوش عابدینپور^۲، منیره شریفی^۲، مصطفی پیرعلی همدانی^۳،امیرحسین جمشیدی^۴، داراب یزدانی^۱^۱ مرکز تحقیقات گیاهان دارویی، پژوهشکده گیاهان دارویی جهاد دانشگاهی، کرج، ایران^۲ دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی، واحد علوم دارویی، گروه داروسازی، تهران، ایران^۳ گروه فارماکونوزی، دانشکده داروسازی، دانشگاه علوم پزشکی تهران، تهران، ایران^۴ پژوهشکده طب اسلامی و مکمل، دانشگاه علوم پزشکی ایران، تهران، ایران

چکیده

اطلاعات مقاله

گل واژگان:

آویشن

بابونه

ارزیابی پس از فروش

میکرو استخراج

محصولات طبیعی

آزمایشات کنترل کیفی

مقدمه: نظارت پس از فروش، بخشی جدایی ناپذیر از ارزیابی ایمنی مداوم برای محصولات بهداشتی و فرآورده‌های دارویی طبیعی است. بابونه و آویشن پرمصرف‌ترین گیاهان در فرآورده‌های دارویی گیاهی هستند. گونه‌های آویشن حاوی ترکیبات فنلی مانند تیمول، کارواکرول و ترپنوئیدها، فلاونوئیدها و ساپونین‌ها هستند. آویشن ضد عفونی‌کننده و ضد سرفه است، بنابراین در درمان سرفه‌های خشک، سرماخوردگی و التهاب مجاری تنفسی فوقانی بسیار موثر است. فرآورده‌های بابونه حاوی آپیزنین-۷-گلیکوزید، مقداری فلاونوئید و کامازولن در اسانس آن است که خواص درمانی ضد التهابی، ضد قارچی و ضد باکتریایی دارد. **هدف:** در این مطالعه، اشکال دارویی مختلف حاوی آویشن (۸ فرآورده) و بابونه (۹ فرآورده) به صورت جداگانه (که به صورت قطره، شربت، پماد و کرم موجود است) خریداری شد. سپس کنترل‌های فیزیکوشیمیایی بر اساس روش‌های مرجع داروسازی‌های گیاهی و روش‌های معتبر داخلی برای اطمینان از کیفیت و پایداری این محصولات انجام شد. **روش بررسی:** از آنجایی که بسیاری از این فرآورده‌ها مونوگراف خاصی در داروسازی ندارند، روش‌های استخراج و آنالیز معتبر برای تعیین کمیت آپیزنین-۷-گلیکوزید با کروماتوگرافی مایع با کارایی بالا و کامازولن به وسیله کروماتوگرافی گازی در فرآورده‌های بابونه و تیمول و کارواکرول به وسیله کروماتوگرافی گازی در محصولات آویشن در اشکال مختلف دوزاژ پیچیده، توسعه داده شد. **نتایج:** در برخی از محصولات آویشن و بابونه، مقدار گزارش شده برای استانداردها با مقادیر به دست آمده مطابقت نداشت. **نتیجه‌گیری:** توصیه می‌شود برای مصرف مناسب و مؤثر داروهای گیاهی کنترل بیشتری انجام شود.

مخفف‌ها: DLLME، میکرو استخراج مایع- مایع پخشی؛ GC/MS، کروماتوگرافی گازی متصل به طیف‌سنج جرمی؛ HPLC، کروماتوگرافی مایع با کارایی بالا؛ LOD، باقیمانده خشک؛ PMS، کنترل پس از ورود به بازار؛ TLC، کروماتوگرافی لایه نازک

* نویسنده مسؤول: tajabadi@imp.ac.ir

تاریخ دریافت: ۲۳ مرداد ۱۴۰۱؛ تاریخ دریافت اصلاحات: ۱۷ مهر ۱۴۰۱؛ تاریخ پذیرش: ۱۹ مهر ۱۴۰۱

doi: [10.52547/jmp.21.84.13](https://doi.org/10.52547/jmp.21.84.13)© 2020. Open access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)