

J. Med. Plants



# Journal of Medicinal Plants

### The queries related to the Journal Expert

- Has the first author and the corresponding author entered his/her ORCID code?
   Yes □ No □
- 2- Has the corresponding author inserted the academic e-mail address in the article? Yes □ No □
- 3- Is the format of the references correct in the text and the end of the manuscript? Yes □ No □
- 4- Has the reference management software been applied?
   Yes □ No □
- 5- Have the name of the authors (in Persian abstract) been also deleted in the anonymous file?
   Yes □ No □
- 6- Are the attachments complete and perfect?Yes □ No □
- 7- Is the information completely uploaded to the site?
   Yes □ No □
- 8- The status announced by the journal expert: It is problematic □ Not problematic □

## The queries related to the Statistician

1- Has the type of the article (Review article, Research article, Short communication, , Case report) been correctly chosen?

Yes  $\Box$  No  $\Box$ 

- 2- Is the applied statistical method appropriate? Yes □ No □
- 3- Has the statistical analysis been properly performed?
   Yes □ No □
- 4- Is the data in the article correct (no data making)?
  Yes □ No □
- 5- Are the figures and the tables appropriate? Do they properly show the data? Are they easy to interpret and understand?

Yes  $\Box$  No  $\Box$ 

- 6- Is this article suitable for submission to the referee?
   Yes □ No □
- 7- The status announced by the statistician expert.
  Rejection □ Return to author for revision □ Send to the next stage



J. Med. Plants



# Journal of Medicinal Plants

### The queries related to the Section Editors

- Is the article in the scope of the journal?
   Yes □ No □
- 2- Is the article adequately updated and attractive enough to be published in this journal?
   Yes □ No □
- 3- Are the references updated and appropriate?Yes □ No □
- 4- Is the English language quality of the article appropriate?
   Yes □ No □
- 5- Is the article qualitatively appropriate? Yes □ No □
- 6- The article score from 100 (articles with a score less than 70 are rejected)
- 7- Is this article suitable for submission to the referee?
  Yes □ No □ Return to author for revision □
- 8- Suggested reviewers

## **Comments of the Editor in Chief**

- 1- The chief editor's opinion about the article: Acceptance for sending to the reviewers □
   Return to the author for primarily revision □
   Fast rejection □
- 2- Write an explanation about the article if necessary.
- 3- Please declare the names of the intended reviewers.