Evaluation of wound healing and anti-inflammatory activities of a herbal ointment consisting of *Althaea officinalis*, *Lavandula angustifolia*, and *Rosa x damascena* in animal excision wound model
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**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** *Althaea officinalis* L., *Lavandula angustifolia* Mill. and *Rosa x damascena* Herrm. are used to treat excision or burn lesions in traditional medicine.

**Objective:** To evaluate the healing effect of a polyherbal ointment containing *L. angustifolia*, *R. x damascena*, and *A. officinalis* combination on wounds caused by third grade skin cut.

**Methods:** To evaluate the wound healing effect of this polyherbal formulation, an ointment containing the extract of *A. officinalis*, the essential oil of *R. x damascena* (2% essence) and the essential oil of *L. officinalis* (2% essence) in an eucerin base (20:20:10:50) was prepared. The formulation was examined using excision wound test for 14 days and the percentage of healing effect in each group was calculated. Formalin induced rat hind paw edema method was used for determination of anti-inflammatory activities of the same formulation.

**Results:** The percentage of recovery in the polyherbal formulation group was significantly higher than the other groups. Histological studies also confirmed these results. Herbal formulation treated group showed significant improvement in terms of re-epithelialization, angiogenesis, collagen deposition, and reducing inflammation. The percentage of wound healing was 99.07 ± 0.34, 99.22 ± 0.35, 98.45 ± 0.733, 98.75 ± 0.88 and 63.72 ± 5.64, for the polyherbal formulation, *L. angustifolia*, *R. damascena*, *A. officinalis* extracts, and the placebo group, respectively.

**Conclusion:** This polyherbal formulation could act as a wound healing agent, possibly due to the suppression of inflammatory mediators. The presence of phenolic compounds might be a reason behind the therapeutic effect of these plants.

**Abbreviations:** NSAIDs, Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs; IgE, Immunoglobulin E; COX, Cyclooxygenase
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1. Introduction

Wound healing is one of the major problems and costly events with a huge global market for wound care products. It was reported that this market exceeded US$ 15 billion for wound care products and US$ 12 billion for treating wound scarring [1]. The process of wound healing is an innate physiological process that ultimately leads to the reconstruction of damaged skin. However, the acceleration of recovery with the goal of preventing wound complications is an essential and inevitable necessity [2-4]. One of these consequences is inflammation, which, if continued, can lead to a weakening of the immune system. Therefore, another therapeutic goal in wound healing is to reduce inflammation and its respective complications [5, 6]. Drugs used to treat inflammation, such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), often have known side effects, which can include problems in digestive, renal and respiratory system, and also drug dependence [7-9]. Today, it has been proven that natural-derived drugs improve wound healing and reduce inflammation, but instead, show fewer side effects. Plant species such as Althaea officinalis L., Lavandula angustifolia Mill. and Rosa x damascena Herrm. have been of great importance in Iranian traditional medicine since ancient times and have antibacterial and anti-inflammatory properties [10-12].

L. angustifolia (lavender) is well known in traditional medicine in the treatment of digestive disorders, neurological diseases, and rheumatism (13-15). Phytochemical studies revealed that linalool, linalyl acetate and some other mono and sesquiterpenes, flavonoids (i.e. luteolin), triterpenoids (i.e. ursolic acid), as well as coumarins such as umbelliferone and coumarin were the main components of the aerial parts and flowers of the plant [13, 15, 16]. The lavender oil was shown to have anti-inflammatory effects and significantly reduced the levels of immunoglobulin E (IgE) and histamine in rats [17]. The biological studies of this plant revealed a dose-dependent anti-inflammatory activity for the ethanolic extract, while the aqueous type showed less effectiveness [18]. Lavender significantly diminished heat-induced pain. Furthermore, in a dose dependent manner, the extract of lavender reduced cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 enzyme activity, where the inhibitory effect on COX-1 was 33 % and for COX-2 was 45 % [19]. Consequently, these data confirm that lavender is a potential treatment for wound healing; in the initial phase by creating tissue granules, recovering damaged tissues by replacing collagen and wound reduction through activating of TGF-β signaling pathway.

A. officinalis is being considered for many years in traditional medicine for treating inflammation, coughing, bronchitis, gastritis, kidney stones, bladder diseases, and skin diseases such as eczema [20-22]. Regarding wound healing, the main constitutes responsible for pain reduction and the inflammation suppression are known to be polyphenols, flavonoids, anthocyanins, dioxobyturic acid and terpenoids [23, 24], while, pectins in this plant has an immune stimulating effect and is able to accelerate the process of wound healing. The aqueous extract of this plant stimulates phagocytosis, production of oxygen radicals and release of leukotriene from neutrophils. Besides, by stimulating monocytes, it secretes cytokines, IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor from these cells [25].

R. x damascena contains many compounds such as kaempferol and quercetin, as well as their glycoside derivatives, carboxylic acid, myrcene, terpene and tannins [26-28]. The essential oil of this plant consists of β-citronel, nonadecane,
geraniol and docosane [29]. It has been reported that \( R. \times \) damascena have a broad range of pharmacological functions such as cardiotonic, anti-constipation, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant cough suppressant, analgesic and attenuation of digestive problems [30-32]. Studies conducted over the last few years showed that the plant also has anti-HIV, antibacterial, antitussive, respiratory smooth muscle relaxant properties, and skin wound healing activities [26, 33-36].

Given the importance of wound healing and lack of efficient drugs in this regard, exploration on novel drugs especially with natural origin seems requisite. In the present study, the healing effect of a polyherbal ointment, consisting of \( L. \) angustifolia, \( A. \) officinalis and \( R. \times \) damascena extracts has been evaluated in third grade excision wound in rats. Moreover, their anti-inflammatory properties were assessed to declare the probable wound healing mechanism of LAR.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

All reagents and solvents were of analytical grade or pure quality which was purchased from Merck Company (Germany). Phenytoin sodium 1% was purchased from Medipharm Company (Iran).

2.2. Extracts

Herbal extracts were purchased commercially from the following companies: essential oil of \( R. \times \) damascena (2 ml) and \( L. \) angustifolia (2 ml) of Narin Gol Co., and the extract of \( A. \) officinalis from Zardband Co.

2.3. Animals

In this study, 72 male Wistar rats weighing between 180 and 200 grams, were divided into 6 groups of 6 (36 rats for each experiment; wound healing and anti-inflammatory tests) and maintained under controlled conditions of light (12 hours light/dark cycles), room temperature (23 ± 1 °C) and relative humidity (50 % ± 10 %) with free access to food and water ad libitum. This study was undertaken according to the suggested international ethical guidelines for care of laboratory animals under the audit of the commission of animal ethics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences (IR.TUMS. VCR.REC. 1398.446). All the ethical concerns were cautiously adhered.

2.4. Wound creation method

This assay was performed according to Karami et al. with slight modifications [37]. The dorsal region of the rats from the scapular to the ileum were shaved under anesthesia by intraperitoneal injection of 100/10 mg/kg Ketamine/Xylazine, a shear wound of 20x20 mm was created. Male Wistar rats were divided into 6 groups of 5. The first group received a topical ointment containing essential oil of \( R. \times \) damascena (2 % essence) in eucerin. The second group received an ointment containing the extract of \( A. \) officinalis in eucerin. The third group received an ointment of essential oil of \( L. \) angustifolia (2 % essence) in eucerin. The fourth group treated with a blend of an ointment containing the extract of \( A. \) officinalis, the essential oil of \( R. \times \) damascena (2 % essence) and the essential oil of \( L. \) officinalis (2 % essence) in an eucerin base (20:20:10:50), respectively. One group was considered as positive control (Phenytoin 1 % ointment), and a group treated with eucerin. The study was performed for 14 days and the percentage of healing effect in each group was calculated. The concentrations of sample extracts have been selected based on some pilot studies in our lab (data are not included). Treatment was started on the first day after wound induction; samples were applied
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topically and the wounds were dressed up once a day for 14 days. The wounds in all groups were examined and photographed on a daily schedule until the 14th day. Finally, the wound area was measured using ImageJ software.

\[
\text{Wound reduction (\%)} = \left( \frac{\text{zero day wound size} - \text{specific day wound size}}{\text{zero day wound size}} \right) \times 100
\]

The diameter of the wound and crust characteristics were monitored during the 14 days of the experiment and photos of the lesions were taken at daily intervals. A 13-megapixel digital capture camera with fixed focus was used, with a spacer tube coupled to the lens. In order to reference and measure the diameter of the wound, a millimeter ruler was positioned. The wound diameter on each photo was analyzed with ImageJ software (version 1.44 p). The Ferret diameter of the ellipse formed by the wound’s margin lineament was measured. The data obtained from the measurement of wound area were analyzed by one-way ANOVA test.

\[
\text{Inhibition (\%)} = \left( \frac{\text{Mean paw diameter (control)} - \text{Mean paw diameter (treated)}}{\text{Mean paw diameter (control)}} \right) \times 100
\]

2.5. Anti-inflammatory experiments

Animals were set as, 1) \( R. \times \text{damascena} \) (2 \% essences); 2) \( A. \text{officinalis} \); 3) \( L. \text{angustifolia} \) (2 \% essences); 4) polyherbal formulation consisted of \( R. \times \text{damascena}, A. \text{officinalis}, L. \text{angustifolia} \) in an eucerin base (20:20:10:50), respectively; 5) Dexamethasone (15 mg/kg/BW); 6) formalin (2.5 \%); 7) normal saline; and 8) control. One hour before the injection of formalin (inflammation induction), the extracts were injected intraperitoneally. Later, in order to evaluate the anti-inflammatory activity of the samples, all the groups were administered 50 µl of a 2.5 \% solution of formalin, subcutaneously under the plantar surface of the left hind-paw by injection subcutaneously under the plantar surface of the left hind-paw. Then, every 45 minutes, the diameter of the palm of foot is measured by a Vernier caliper (measurement accuracy 0.1 mm). After the injection, the measurements were repeated for four times. The difference between the readings at time 45 min and different time interval was taken as the level of inflammation [38, 39].

\[
\text{Inhibition (\%)} = \left( \frac{\text{Mean paw diameter (control)} - \text{Mean paw diameter (treated)}}{\text{Mean paw diameter (control)}} \right) \times 100
\]

2.6. Histopathological evaluation

At day 14, granulated tissues were collected from dorsal parts of rats and preserved in 10 % buffered formalin. Series of 3-4 µm thickness sections were prepared and stained with hematoxylin-eosin and photographed under 400 × magnification. The rate of re-epithelialization, collagenation, neovascularization (angiogenesis) and inflammatory cells were evaluated by an expert histopathologist [40].

2.7. Statistical analysis

All data were expressed in SPSS software (version 22) as the mean ± SEM (standard error of the mean). Statistical differences between treated and control groups were examined one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's post hoc test (Stats-Direct version 3.1.18). Differences in means were considered to be significant when \( P < 0.05 \).

3. Results

3.1. Wound healing activity

Topical application of the extracts in the four groups compared with the control group significantly (\( P < 0.005 \)) led to decrease in the area of the ulcer from the 7th day, by which the polyherbal formulation exhibited the highest reduction of wound size as followed by the extract of \( R. \text{damascena} \).
Thereby, the percentage of wound closure at the final day of the experiment was 99.07 ± 0.34, 99.22 ± 0.35, 98.45 ± 0.733 and 98.75 ± 0.88 respectively, for the polyherbal formulation, \textit{R. damascena}, \textit{L. angustifolia}, and \textit{A. officinalis} extracts. These results were achieved when the percentage of wound healing in the placebo group was 63.72 ± 5.64 and for phenytoin treated rats was 73.00 ± 4.80 (Table 1, Fig. 1).

3.2. Histopathological study

The polyherbal formulation-treated group showed significant improvement in wound healing process in comparison with the control group. The microscopic views have been shown in Fig. 2. Microscopic examination showed that in the control group the collagen fibers were unorganized and re-epithelialization was not complete. Severe inflammation, low angiogenesis, and low collagen deposition were identified. The dermis layer was infiltrated with many inflammatory cells, and congestion of capillaries. In phenytoin treated group, near complete re-epithelialization, limited inflammatory cell infiltrations, moderate inflammation, more collagenation and neovascularization were observed. In case of \textit{R. damascena}, adequate re-epithelialization and granulation tissue of epidermis appeared near complete, obvious neovascularization was monitored, while mild inflammation, moderate angiogenesis and severe fibroplasia were recorded. For \textit{L. angustifolia}, the blood vessel formation and epidermal regeneration were found significant, also the collagen deposition, fibroplasia were moderate. \textit{A. officinalis} made nearly full re-epithelialization in all samples; whereas moderate inflammation, angiogenesis and collagen deposition were detected. The microscopic results for the polyherbal formulation were found similar to that of \textit{R. damascena}. Adequate epithelialization and reduced inflammatory cells infiltration, well-formed granulation tissue of epidermis, increased number of new capillaries (neovascularization) and irregular myofibroblast distributions, collagen fibers and many fibroblasts were present. Of note, the extent of the scar reduced in order of the polyherbal formulation-treated animals, followed by \textit{R. x damascena}, \textit{A. officinalis} and \textit{L. angustifolia}. All the experimental samples exhibited better wound healing effects than the control group, in terms of re-epithelialization, inflammation, angiogenesis and collagen deposition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>14th day</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative Control(a)</td>
<td>63.72 ± 5.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Control(b)</td>
<td>73.00 ± 4.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{A. officinalis}</td>
<td>98.75 ± 0.88</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{L. angustifolia}</td>
<td>98.45 ± 0.733</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{R. damascena}</td>
<td>99.22 ± 0.35</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyherbal formulation(c)</td>
<td>99.07 ± 0.34</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Positive Control: Phenytoin  
\(b\) Negative Control: Eucerin-treated rats  
\(c\) Polyherbal formulation: A blend of an ointment containing the extract of \textit{A. officinalis}, the essential oil of \textit{R. x damascena} (2 % essence) and the essential oil of \textit{L. officinalis} (2 % essence) in an eucerin base (20:20:10:50)  
*** Significantly different from negative control (P < 0.001)
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Fig. 1. The wound healing process of the experimental samples

Fig. 2. Microscopic panel of wound on the 14th day of treatment in rats. a) Polyherbal formulation treated wound, b) A. officinalis treated wound, c) L. angustifolia treated wound, d) R. x damascena, e) phenytoin treated wound, and f) non-treated wounds. 400x magnification. Skin sections show the hematoxylin and eosin stained epidermis and dermis.
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3.3. Anti-inflammation activity

Fig. 3 depicts the effect of three plant extracts alone or their combination on suppression of the paw edema induced by formalin in rats as shown in. The highest anti inhibitory activity was obtained by the polyherbal formulation, almost similar to that one of Dexamethasone. However, Dexamethasone showed a stronger effect on the reduction of inflammation caused by formalin than each of the herbal extract (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Diameter of the foot (mm²) 45 min</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Diameter of the foot (mm²) 90 min</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Diameter of the foot (mm²) 135 min</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Diameter of the foot (mm²) 180 min</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control*</td>
<td>3.485 ± 0.637</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.185 ± 0.349</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.288 ± 0.637</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.225 ± 0.636</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formalin</td>
<td>3.355 ± 0.355</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.105 ± 0.514</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.103</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.475 ± 0.154</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>3.230 ± 0.046</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.375 ± 0.339</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.18 ± 0.305</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.18 ± 0.304</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexamethasone</td>
<td>2.25 ± 0.058</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>2.263 ± 0.522</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>2.503 ± 0.314</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.258</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyherbal formulation(b)</td>
<td>2.385 ± 0.382</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.397</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>2.88 ± 0.169</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.348</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. angustifolia</td>
<td>3.944 ± 0.289</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.58 ± 0.555</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.42 ± 0.391</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3.42 ± 0.391</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. x damascena</td>
<td>2.936 ± 0.458</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.164 ± 0.164</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.18 ± 0.301</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>3.02 ± 0.139</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. officinalis</td>
<td>3.664 ± 0.279</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.18 ± 0.308</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.162 ± 0.294</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>3.022 ± 0.204</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Control: non-treated rats. \(b\) Polyherbal formulation: a blend of an ointment containing the extract of A. officinalis, the essential oil of R. x damascena (2 % essence) and the essential oil of L. officinalis (2 % essence) in an eucerin base (20:20:10:50). Results are expressed as means ± SEM; (n = 5 rats/group). *** significantly different from control (P < 0.001); ** significantly different from control (P < 0.01). The statistical analysis was carried out by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s post hoc test.

4. Discussion

Wound healing is a normal and complicated process of recovering the cellular structures in damaged tissues, to repair the injury and return it back to its natural condition. Generally, wound reduction and the healing procedure begin in the fibroblastic stage, where the wound starts to wrinkle. Wound healing is consisted of three phases, inflammatory, proliferative and maturational stages, which strictly depends on the type and the extent of damage, and the host’s health conditions. Following the inflammatory phase, the proliferative phase including epithelialization, angiogenesis, and collagen deposition takes place. Eventually, the wound starts contraction, this is the maturational phase. Individuality, indigenousness and availability of plants, as well as being innovative in sanitary application, making them great choices in market presence and can be important contributors for threatening different kind of injuries, particularly skin wound healing.

As mentioned earlier, chemical composition of selected plants through various mechanisms might provide a basis for acceleration of wound healing process. Our results indicated that the selected plant extracts alone or their combination were significantly effective on wound healing and reduced the duration of wound healing compared with the control, besides the formulation did not show any harmful effect. The percentage of wound healing was 99.07 ± 0.34, 99.22 ± 0.35, 98.45 ± 0.733, 98.75 ± 0.88 and 63.72 ± 5.64, for the polyherbal formulation, L. angustifolia, R. damascena, A. officinalis extracts, and the placebo group, respectively. The percentage of recovery in the polyherbal formulation group was significantly higher than the other groups.
A practicing model of inflammation in the research for new anti-inflammatory drug was formalin-induced rat paw edema (41, 42), based on the release of substance P, prostanoids, 5-hydroxytryptamine and histamine (42). Despite the slower rate of wound healing of L. angustifolia and A. officinalis in 7th early days of the treatment, they demonstrated significant potential for healing process at the end of the treatment period. However, both R. x damascena and the polyherbal formulation effectively improved the wound recovery by time until day 14. Interestingly, all the experimental samples appeared more effective than the positive control. Since, inflammation is a known factor that delays the healing period and enhances scarring, therefore, the anti-inflammatory agents are supposed favorable for wound healing. Our polyherbal formulation significantly reduced the inflammation at 90, 135 and 180 min, following the induction of formalin edema (P < 0.001), comparing to the control and formalin groups. Other plant extracts showed similar anti-inflammatory effects, less than the polyherbal formulation. Further, histopathological assessment confirmed the potential of the polyherbal formulation in wound healing, showing complete re-epithelialization, well-formed granulation tissue, reduced scar extent, with neovascularization.
Previously, it was proven that a polyherbal product consisting of the aqueous extracts of *Malva sylvestris* and *Solanum nigrum* leaves and oily extract of *R. x damascena* was effective on second-degree burn wounds. The authors claimed that such effect was mainly attributed to their antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial of their phytochemical constituents, causing significant improvement of re-epithelialization and neovascularization, scavenging of destructive free radicals and inflammation reduction [43]. The essential oil of lavender was found beneficial for episiotomy wound care in a randomized control trial conducted on 120 primiparous women with singleton pregnancy [44]. In another study, topical application of lavender oil increased the expression of transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), collagen synthesis, and the differentiation of skin fibroblasts [45]. The hydroalcoholic extract of the leaves of *A. officinalis* was shown wound healing potency in rat model of excision wound [46]. Such results are in accordance with our findings, as we found all 3 extracts were effective on wound healing process, yet their combination was significantly more efficacious. Besides, the combination of these plant species conquered the inflammation state caused by formalin, suggesting that the mechanism behind the healing properties of this topical formulation is related to the anti-inflammatory potential of its active ingredients, at least in part. Moreover, there are clinical evidence on the presence of bioadhesive and mucilaginous polysaccharides in different parts of *A. officinalis*, stimulating the cell physiology of epithelial cell and physical formation of mucin-like on top of the irritated tissues, leading to the treatment of irritated mucous membranes within tissue regeneration [47]. Another way, it has been documented that a moist environment can facilitate the wound healing procedure, thereby, the high mucilage content of *A. officinalis* can provide a proper moist condition for injured skin cells. This results in a faster re-epithelialization, autolytic debridement, angiogenesis, better migration of keratinocytes, and the production of endogenous wound healing stimulants, providing an ideal wound healing condition [48, 49].

5. Conclusion
In conclusion, based on the pharmacological potential and phytochemical content of the selected plant species, their combination application and concurrent use is suggested during the early and late stages of wound treatment. In addition, the anti-inflammatory effect of selected species suggests to evaluate the probable inflammatory mediators and pathways that might be involved. Considering the angiogenic and anti-inflammatory effects of the cream, diabetic ulcers and bed sore are recommended for future studies.
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